

Mail Acceptance and Payment Track

Packages

- Concerns about unmanifested when a mailer is no longer mailing through a consolidator.
 - a. eVS user group will look at the process of deactivating MIDs.
- If USPS publishes the Labeling list late or there are inaccuracies in the labeling list, allow mailers up to the next release cycle to accommodate the anomalies and don't assess them in the current cycle.
- Evaluate the requirement that allows a CASS certified barcode in the upper right corner of the flat mail piece despite another barcode in the address block. When these Flat mailpieces are included in seamless this could potentially cause unmanifested pieces.
 - a. Work with engineering to determine the proper placement of the barcode on a flat so that the barcode applied by the consolidator and included in the eDoc is the one read by equipment.
 - b. Alternatively consider altering the mail.dat specs to allow for a provision for two barcodes.
- Juliaann to develop a workgroup to define the timeliness of the files and what scans will be used to determine timing. Define that.

First-Class Mail

- Customer First/PostalOne! integration will occur after the new Customer First program is installed and the help desk is transitioned to Service Now. The goal is to have this implemented around the April timeframe.
- Update the roadmap to provide clarity on the Move Update validation:
 - a. Which mailpieces will be included in the MU validation – Full Service, Basic Auto
 - b. Can you include the metrics for mailings <75% even if the assessment stays with the current MERLIN process so mailers can review and make changes.
 - c. Provide clarity on which Mailings will be included in the August release versus the November release.
 - d. Advise industry in Roadmap that we will revisit the Move Update Thresholds in the October/November timeframe when more volume is included in the metrics.
- MEPT will share the Move Update approach with the inspection service
- In the world of seamless – revisit rules around the rate markings in the Permit Indicia – for example do they still need to include “Single Piece” or “Presort” in the Indicia when the mailpiece is on Seamless Acceptance. Work with Pricing on this.
- Request Pricing/Ops to revisit the rules around dates on Meter pieces. Does the industry still need to include this in the world of Seamless. Need to understand the reasons for this rule and if it can be revised.
- Request Pricing/Ops to revisit the requirement for a 500 piece minimum in First-Class mail for mailers who typically mail more than 500 pieces and have the occasional mailing that is less than 500 pieces.

Periodical Mail

- If an MSP is later identified as a Mail Owner, since our reports don't roll back mid month, how will the mailers reconcile at the end of the month.
- Determine if USPS can annotate in the CAPS transaction that there was an invoice charge.
 - a. Provide information to allow reconciliation.

Standard Mail

- Post Mail Entry Roadmap, Guide to Intelligent Mail for Letters and Flats, Guide to Seamless and Guide to eInduction on RIBBS.
- Non-Profit, By/For Issues
 - a. Check the release notes and mail.dat spec to ensure that we are clearly explain how we are going to present the mail owner info in our electronic postage statements, especially in a co-mail environment.
- Difficulty getting in contact with a local mailpiece design analyst.
 - a. What should a local BME be able to answer? What calls should be made to MDAs?
 - b. A work group will be formed to address the issues of inconsistent and incorrect answers. The workgroup will consist of Wanda Senne, Kim Waltz, Barb Walchoz, and Paul Giampola.
- Check mail.dat spec to see if there is a machinability indicator for carrier route basic/high density categories. This mail can be excluded when considering the 75% of mail requirement for a DMU provided this mail is non-machinable.
- Review metrics presented by Steve Dearing regarding mail excluded from measurement.
 - a. Invalid Entry Point for Discount Claimed (14.87%), Incorrect Entry Facility (10.12%), Non-Unique IMB (5.9%).
- Volunteers for the RIBBS redevelopment workgroup.
 - a. Rose Flanagan, Kurt Ruppel, Barb Walchoz, Kim Walls, Paula Stotskopl, Michelle Z, Kim Mock, and Lisa Bowes.